

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,577		02/12/2002	Ji-Young Jang	A-0010US	9888
5073	7590	06/17/2005	•	EXAMINER	
BAKER BO	OTTS L.	L.P.	MANOHARAN, VIRGINIA		
2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, 7	TX 7520	1-2980	1764		
	•			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			15					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/075,577	JANG ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	· ·	Virginia Manoharan	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status		•	•					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17,19 and 21-24 is/are pending in the	ne application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are withdrawn	from consideration.						
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>14-17,19,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>21-22</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10)[10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
<u> </u>	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment		»П.,	VDT0 440					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/075,577

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-17, 19, & 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0764627 to Ohkoshi et al. in view of Applicants' Disclosure of Admitted Prior Art.

The above references are applied for the same combined reasons as set forth at pages 3-4 of the previous Office Action.

Claims 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's arguments filed February 23, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument that "..AAPA merely discloses at paragraph 00039 that "[t]ypically dehydration column generates low pressure steam (typically 0.6-0.7kg/cm² g) at the top of the column." Nowhere does AAPA disclose that the low pressure steam is at pressure level from 0.7-2.0 kilograms/cm². Thus, for at least this reason, the EP '627 –AAPA combination proposed by the Examiner fails to disclose, teach, or suggest each

Application/Control Number: 10/075,577

Art Unit: 1764

and every limitation of independent Claim 14, as amended. "is not persuasive of patentability because of the following reasons:

The AAPA discloses a range having a common endpoint of 0.7. Thus, the portion of the ranges, i.e., claimed lower limit of 0.7 kg/cm² g" is touched or overlapped by the prior art; and the portion of the ranges that does not overlap is deemed also obvious since the AAPA and the claimed invention both discloses or suggests a dehydration column that produces a low pressure steam with the same data point of 0.7 kg/cm². By now it is well-settled that "where the claimed ranges overlap the ranges disclosed by the prior art a prima facie case of obviousness exists. In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1096); In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir. 1990). There appears to be no evidence of criticality of record with the above claimed ranges of steam. Furthermore, in response to applicants' argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teaching of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F. 2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the EP '627 and AAPA both deal with the same dehydration column in the processing of a terepthatic acid material.

Thus, in the absence of unexpected results, a prima facie case of obviousness ha been reasonably established by the art and has not been rebutted.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,577

Art Unit: 1764

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

This application contains claims 1-13 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Virginia Manoharan whose telephone number is 571-271-1450.I

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,577 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

V. Manoharan/af June 15, 2005

JIRGINIA MANCHARAN'
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 132/ 764